UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|----------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/068,001 | 001 02/08/2002 Billy Hogan | | HWB 2380-604 | 6407 | |
| 23117 NIXON & VAN | 7590 10/16/200 NDERHYE. PC | EXAMINER | | | |
| 901 NORTH G | LEBE ROAD, 11TH F | NGUYEN, KHAI MINH | | | |
| ARLINGTON, | VA 22203 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2617 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 10/16/2008 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|--------------|--|--|
| 10/068,001 | HOGAN ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| KHAI M. NGUYEN | 2617 | | |

| | KHAI M. NGUYEN | 2617 | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>22 September 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS | S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F | OR ALLOWANCE. | | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apple for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance | t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request | | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing | date of the final rejection. | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la | ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing | g date of the final rejection | n. | | | |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f |). | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extra under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the siset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | ension and the corresponding amount on the corresponding amount of the corresponding a | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as | | | |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl | iance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be f | iled within two months | s of the date of | | | |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS | | | e appeal. Since a | | | |
| 3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b | ut prior to the date of filing a brief. | will not be entered be | cause | | | |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further con | | | | | | |
| (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belov | • | | | | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett | er form for appeal by materially rec | ducing or simplifying t | ne issues for | | | |
| appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c | orresponding number of finally reig | octed claims | | | | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | orresponding number of finally reje | cied ciaims. | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | 1 See attached Notice of Non-Co. | mpliant Amendment (| PTOL-324) | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | mphane / mioriamione (i | | | | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allo | | imely filed amendmer | nt canceling the | | | |
| non-allowable claim(s). | • | • | J | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | l be entered and an e | xplanation of | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | |
| 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but | before or on the date of filing a No | tice of Appeal will not | be entered | | | |
| because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | ıl and/or appellant fail: | s to provide a | | | |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation | | | | | | |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but | does NOT place the application in | condition for allowan | ce hecause: | | | |
| | does NOT place the application in | condition for allowan | ce because. | | | |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other: | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | | | | |
| /VINCENT P. HARPER/ | | | | | | |
| Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No.

The proposed amendment (the user equipment unit makes its determination on a basis of an access group to which the user equipment unit belongs as indicated by access group classification information (need to search for class 455/500,507 (master and slaves, more than two stations, group)) filed after final rejection, it raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.

/Khai M Nguyen/ Examiner, Art Unit 2617